

Topics in concentration of measure: Lecture I

Sourav Chatterjee

Courant Institute, NYU

St. Petersburg Summer School, June 2012

Lecture I: Localization in random structures

Hamiltonian systems

- ▶ n particles. Positions at time t : q_1, \dots, q_n . Momenta at time t : p_1, \dots, p_n .
- ▶ Hamiltonian: $H(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n)$.
- ▶ Hamilton's equations:

$$\dot{p}_j := \frac{dp_j}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_j}, \quad \dot{q}_j := \frac{dq_j}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j}.$$

- ▶ Example:

$$H(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j^2 + V(q_1, \dots, q_n).$$

Here m is the 'mass' and V is the 'potential energy'.

- ▶ Then we recover Newton's equations of motion:

$$\dot{p}_j = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_j}, \quad \dot{q}_j = \frac{p_j}{m}.$$

Conserved quantities

- ▶ A Hamiltonian flow has various **conserved quantities**. These are quantities that do not change over time.
- ▶ The Hamiltonian H is always conserved. **Noether's theorem** relates conserved quantities to symmetries of the system.
- ▶ If all the conserved quantities are known, then the system is called **integrable**. This is rarely the case.

An alternative representation

- ▶ Let $\psi_j := q_j + ip_j$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$.
- ▶ Then Hamilton's equations become

$$i\dot{\psi}_j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi_j} := \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_j} + i\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j}.$$

The Schrödinger equation

- ▶ Consider the Hamiltonian

$$H(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n |\psi_{j+1} - \psi_j|^2,$$

where $n + 1 = 1$.

- ▶ This gives the equations

$$i\dot{\psi}_j = -(\psi_{j+1} - 2\psi_j + \psi_{j-1}).$$

- ▶ This is the discrete analog of the **Schrödinger equation**

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\Delta\psi.$$

Straightforward generalization to higher dimensions.

- ▶ It should now be clear why the Schrödinger flow may be called an **infinite dimensional Hamiltonian flow** with Hamiltonian

$$H(\psi) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla\psi(t, x)|^2 dx.$$

The nonlinear Schrödinger equation

- ▶ The nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) is a famous nonlinear PDE:

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\Delta\psi + \kappa|\psi|^{p-1}\psi.$$

Here $\kappa = \pm 1$, p is the 'nonlinearity parameter', and $\psi = \psi(t, x)$ is a flow evolving over time, with $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

- ▶ Crucial to understanding a variety of physical phenomena: Bose-Einstein condensation, Langmuir waves in plasmas, nonlinear optics, envelopes of water waves, etc...
- ▶ The case $\kappa = +1$ is called the **defocusing** equation and the case $\kappa = -1$ is called the **focusing** equation.
- ▶ Just like the Schrödinger equation, the NLS is also an infinite dimensional Hamiltonian system, with Hamiltonian

$$H(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla\psi(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{\kappa}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\psi(t, x)|^{p+1} dx.$$

The soliton resolution conjecture

- ▶ The long-term behavior of the NLS is shrouded in mystery. The main open problem is the so-called **soliton resolution conjecture**. (See e.g. Terry Tao's blog entry on this topic for a readable account.)
- ▶ The conjecture claims that for 'generic initial data', as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the NLS flow decomposes into a union of a finite number of receding 'solitons', plus a term that radiates to zero.
- ▶ A soliton is a stationary wave of the form $\psi(t, x) = v(x)e^{i\omega t}$, where v must satisfy

$$\omega v = \Delta v - \kappa |v|^{p-1} v.$$

- ▶ Rigorously verified in a few special cases, but no general result.
- ▶ One approach to understanding the long-term behavior is through the study of **invariant measures**. We will talk about this approach in the next few slides.

Invariant manifolds

- ▶ A property of a finite dimensional Hamiltonian flow is that it preserves Lebesgue measure. (Liouville's theorem)
- ▶ Given a Hamiltonian system, Liouville's theorem implies that the uniform probability distribution on a manifold where a subset of all conserved quantities take specified values is an invariant measure for the flow.
- ▶ For example, for the NLS, the mass $M(\psi) = \int |\psi(t, x)|^2 dx$ and the energy $H(\psi)$ are conserved quantities. Therefore, the uniform probability distribution on the space of all functions with $M(\psi) = m$ and $H(\psi) = E$, if one can make sense of such a thing, would be an invariant measure for this flow.
- ▶ The study of invariant measures is one approach to understanding the long-term behavior of Hamiltonian flows; in particular, the NLS. (Long history: Lebowitz, Rose, Speer, Bourgain, Zhidkov, McKean, Vaninsky, Rider, Tzvetkov, Oh,...)

Uniform distributions on manifolds defined by a single equation

- ▶ Classical example: Uniform distribution on the simplex

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : x_1 + \dots + x_n = n\}.$$

- ▶ In this example, it is known that for n large, the coordinates behave like i.i.d. $Exp(1)$ random variables.
- ▶ Another classical example:

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = n\}.$$

- ▶ Here, the coordinates behave like i.i.d. $N(0, 1)$ random variables.

Manifolds defined by two equations

- ▶ Simplest example:

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : x_1 + \dots + x_n = n, \\ x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = bn\}.$$

Here b is a fixed constant.

- ▶ The uniform distribution on this set is an invariant measure for a flow with two conserved quantities.
- ▶ How does the uniform distribution on this manifold behave when n is large? I tried this out as a toy version of the NLS question.
- ▶ Note that we must have $b \geq 1$ for this set to be non-empty.

The subcritical phase

Theorem (C., 2010)

Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be a vector chosen uniformly from the manifold defined in the previous slide. Suppose $1 < b \leq 2$. Then there exist unique $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the probability density proportional to $\exp(-rx^2 - sx)$ on $[0, \infty)$ has first moment 1 and second moment b . Let Z_1, Z_2, \dots be i.i.d. random variables following this density. Then following hold:

- (a) For any fixed k , the random vector (X_1, \dots, X_k) converges in law to (Z_1, \dots, Z_k) as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (b) If $b < 2$, there is a constant C , possibly depending on b , such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i > C\sqrt{\log n}\right) = 0.$$

- (c) When $b = 2$, part (c) holds but with $\log n$ instead of $\sqrt{\log n}$.

Localization in the supercritical phase

Theorem (C., 2010)

Suppose $b > 2$. Let Z_1, Z_2, \dots be i.i.d. $\text{Exp}(1)$ random variables. Then the following hold.

- (a) For any fixed k , the random vector (X_1, \dots, X_k) converges in law to (Z_1, \dots, Z_k) as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (b) Let $M = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i$. Then

$$\frac{M^2}{(b-2)n} \rightarrow 1 \text{ in probability.}$$

Consequently, the sum of squares of all other coordinates is roughly $2n$ with high probability.

- (c) Let M_2 be the value of the second largest coordinate. Then

$$\frac{M_2^2}{n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability.}$$

- ▶ The proof indicates that the localization that we saw in the supercritical phase is typical in systems with multiple conserved quantities.
- ▶ In fact, the soliton resolution conjecture is itself a localization phenomenon: the solitons are localized features. There is no localization for the ordinary Schrödinger equation; the flow tends to zero in sup-norm.
- ▶ A preliminary analysis was done in Chatterjee & Kirkpatrick (2010) where localization was proved in the cubic discrete NLS, but a continuum limit could not be taken.
- ▶ Very recently, I was finally able to figure out how to take a continuum limit and consequently, prove a 'statistical version' of the soliton resolution conjecture (next slide).

Theorem (C., 2012; rough statement)

Consider the d -dimensional focusing NLS with nonlinearity p . Suppose that $p < 1 + 4/d$ (subcritical nonlinearity), and that E is a real number bigger than the minimum possible energy at a given mass m . If we attempt to choose a function uniformly at random from all functions satisfying $M(\psi) = m$ and $H(\psi) = E$, by first discretizing the problem and then passing to the infinite volume continuum limit, then the resulting sequence of discrete random functions converges in sup-norm to the soliton of minimum energy at mass m .

Another motivation

- ▶ Systems with multiple conserved quantities may arise in other problems too.
- ▶ Example: large deviations in random graphs.
- ▶ Consider the Erdős-Rényi random graph $G(n, p)$.
- ▶ Let T be the number of triangles (or, some other subgraph) in $G(n, p)$. What is the behavior of $\mathbb{P}(T \geq (1 + \epsilon)\mathbb{E}(T))$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is fixed, and $n \rightarrow \infty$, $p \rightarrow 0$? Was a well-known open question in random graphs for a long time.
- ▶ In a breakthrough work in 2004, Kim and Vu showed (simultaneously Janson and coauthors) that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a constant $C(\epsilon) > 0$ such that whenever $p > C(\epsilon)^{-1} n^{-1} \log n$,

$$e^{-C(\epsilon)^{-1} n^2 p^2 \log(1/p)} \leq \mathbb{P}(T \geq (1 + \epsilon)\mathbb{E}(T)) \leq e^{-C(\epsilon) n^2 p^2}.$$

- ▶ However, the unmatched log factor between the upper and lower bounds persisted for a while; solved recently.

Upper tail for triangle counts

Theorem (C., 2010)

Let T be the number of triangles in an Erdős-Rényi graph $G(n, p)$. For each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a sufficiently small positive constant $C(\epsilon)$ such that whenever $C(\epsilon)^{-1} n^{-1} \log n \leq p \leq C(\epsilon)$, we have

$$e^{-C(\epsilon)^{-1} n^2 p^2 \log(1/p)} \leq \mathbb{P}(T \geq (1 + \epsilon)\mathbb{E}(T)) \leq e^{-C(\epsilon) n^2 p^2 \log(1/p)}.$$

- ▶ The log factor arises due to the **localization of triangles**: given that $T \geq (1 + \epsilon)\mathbb{E}(T)$, the key step is to show that there is with high probability a small number of vertices supporting a large number of triangles.
- ▶ This can be viewed as a problem of understanding the behavior of systems with multiple conserved quantities: here the conserved quantities are the number of edges and the number of triangles. (The number of edges appears in the probability mass function of the Erdős-Rényi random graph.)

Summary

- ▶ It is natural to study uniform probability distributions on sets defined by multiple implicit equations.
- ▶ Such sets arise as invariant manifolds for Hamiltonian flows with two or more conserved quantities.
- ▶ May also arise in various other domains, such as random graphs.
- ▶ Often, these probability measures exhibit **localization**. For example, solitons in nonlinear Schrödinger flows. Or clusters of triangles in random graphs.
- ▶ Progress has been made in only a few special problems; many questions remain open.

- ▶ S. Chatterjee, 2010. A note about the uniform distribution on the intersection of a simplex and a sphere. *arXiv preprint*.
- ▶ S. Chatterjee and K. Kirkpatrick, 2010. Probabilistic methods for discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *arXiv preprint*. *Recently appeared in Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*
- ▶ S. Chatterjee, 2010. The missing log in large deviations for triangle counts. *arXiv preprint*. *To appear in Random Structures and Algorithms*.
- ▶ S. Chatterjee, 2012. Invariant measures and the soliton resolution conjecture. *arXiv preprint*.
- ▶ **Plus:** extensive references to the literature from the above manuscripts.

Possible research problems

- ▶ Analyze invariant measures for other Hamiltonian systems (hundreds of examples).
- ▶ Connect the study of invariant measures with the dynamics.
- ▶ Large deviations of sparse random graphs: this is an area with very few theorems. Many open questions in various random graph models, e.g. Erdős-Rényi, exponential random graph models (ERGMs), geometric random graphs, power law graphs,

Understanding localization

- ▶ We will consider the toy problem of understanding the uniform distribution on the intersection of a simplex and a sphere to grasp the basic philosophy behind localization on manifolds defined by multiple implicit equations.
- ▶ Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. non-negative random variables with a continuous distribution function F .
- ▶ Let \hat{F} be the empirical distribution function, i.e.

$$\hat{F}(t) := \frac{1}{n} \#\{i : X_i \leq t\}.$$

- ▶ By the law of large numbers, $\hat{F} \approx F$. In fact, it is well-known that for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\hat{F} - F\|_\infty > \delta) \leq e^{-C(\delta)n}.$$

- ▶ What is the behavior of \hat{F} conditioned on the rare event that $\sum X_i \geq n(1 + \epsilon)\mathbb{E}(X_1)$, where ϵ is a fixed positive number?

- ▶ If X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. $\text{Exp}(1)$, then conditional on the rare event $\sum X_i \geq n(1 + \epsilon)\mathbb{E}(X_1)$, it is easy to show that with high probability, \hat{F} is close to the distribution function of an exponential random variable with mean $1 + \epsilon$.
- ▶ In particular, conditioning on this rare event has the effect that the empirical distribution of the X_i 's changes substantially.

Understanding localization, contd.

- ▶ Now suppose that $\mathbb{P}(X_1 > t)$ decays slower than exponential, e.g. like $e^{-\sqrt{t}}$.
- ▶ Let A be the event that $\sum X_i \geq n(1 + \epsilon)\mathbb{E}(X_1)$, and B be the event that $\|\hat{F} - F\|_\infty > \delta$, where ϵ and δ are fixed constants.
- ▶ Then

$$\mathbb{P}(A) \geq \mathbb{P}(X_1 \geq n(1 + \epsilon)\mathbb{E}(X_1)) \geq e^{-C(\epsilon)\sqrt{n}}.$$

- ▶ On the other hand we know that $\mathbb{P}(B) \leq e^{-C(\delta)n}$.
- ▶ Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(B|A) \leq \frac{\mathbb{P}(B)}{\mathbb{P}(A)} \leq e^{-C(\epsilon,\delta)n}.$$

- ▶ In other words, conditional on A , the empirical distribution is still close to F with high probability.
- ▶ This shows that the 'extra' contribution to $\sum X_i$ must come from only a few large values.

Localization in the simplex-sphere problem

- ▶ Recall: We wish to study the uniform distribution on the set

$$S := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : x_1 + \dots + x_n = n, \\ x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = bn\}.$$

- ▶ We will use the heuristic outlined in the previous slides to understand why localization occurs when $b > 2$.
- ▶ Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a point chosen uniformly from the simplex

$$S_1 := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : x_1 + \dots + x_n = n\}.$$

- ▶ Conditional on the event $X \in S_2$, where

$$S_2 := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = bn\},$$

the vector X is uniformly distributed on S .

Localization in the simplex-sphere problem, contd.

- ▶ But we know that X ‘behaves’ like a vector of i.i.d. $Exp(1)$ random variables.
- ▶ This allows the problem to be reduced to the problem of understanding the conditional behavior of a vector of i.i.d. $Exp(1)$ random variables given that the sum of squares is bn .
- ▶ The square of an $Exp(1)$ random variable has an upper tail that decays slower than exponential (indeed, like $e^{-\sqrt{t}}$).
- ▶ The expected value of the square of an $Exp(1)$ random variable is 2.
- ▶ Therefore if $b > 2$ and n is large, our previous argument via empirical distribution functions shows that if the rare event $\sum X_i^2 \approx bn$ happens, then with high probability there are a few exceptionally large values that contributed to the ‘excess’ sum of squares.
- ▶ It is a harder task to show that exactly one value contributes; we will not discuss this.

Localization in random graphs

- ▶ Let A be the event that $T \geq (1 + \epsilon)\mathbb{E}(T)$, where T is the number of triangles.
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}(A)$ may be easily lower bounded by obtaining all the extra triangles by forming a small clique.
- ▶ For each edge e in the graph, consider the number T_e of triangles containing that edge.
- ▶ Let N be the number of edges e satisfying $T_e \geq (1 + n^{-\delta})\mathbb{E}(T_e)$, where δ is some very small constant.
- ▶ Let B be the event that $N > n^{1+3\delta}$.
- ▶ It can be shown that $\mathbb{P}(B)$ is negligible compared to the lower bound on $\mathbb{P}(A)$, from which it follows that $\mathbb{P}(B|A)$ is small.
- ▶ Thus, if A happens, then with high probability most of the 'extra' triangles come from a small region.
- ▶ Actual proof is more complicated. A simpler argument along similar lines was given by DeMarco and Kahn.

Localization in nonlinear Schrödinger flows

- ▶ Unfortunately, too many things to summarize in one slide.
- ▶ To pique your interest, let me just mention that there is a 99 page article on arXiv with a lot of math, combining techniques from large deviations, PDE and harmonic analysis.

That's all for today!