

# Stein's method in concentration inequalities, spin glasses, random matrices, and strong approximations

Sourav Chatterjee (UC Berkeley)

This tutorial consists of four lectures:

1. A new method of normal approximation
2. A new approach to strong embeddings
3. Spin glasses and Stein's method
4. Stein's method for concentration inequalities

# A new method of normal approximation

Sourav Chatterjee (UC Berkeley)

# Central limit theorems

- ▶ Classical CLT: If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent random variables with zero mean and finite variance and (...), then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

has a gaussian distribution in the limit.

- ▶ Often, the  $X_i$ 's need to be only *approximately* independent.
  - ▶ Many ways to prove such results, e.g.
    1. Characteristic functions
    2. Martingales & Skorohod embeddings
    3. Little blocks, big blocks
    4. Stein's method (and variants)
    5. Hájek Projections
    6. Specialized techniques for special problems
- ... but they all require luck, and often, very hard work.

# An example from statistics

- ▶ Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. random  $d$ -vectors.
- ▶ Let

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_i f_i, \quad (1)$$

where  $f_i$  is a function of  $X_i$  and its  $k$  nearest neighbors in the set  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ .

- ▶ Data might lie on a complicated lower-dimensional manifold.
- ▶ Specific example (Levina & Bickel '05): Unbiased estimate of the dimension of the manifold.
- ▶ **Routine question:** How to find normal approximation bounds for  $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ ?

# An example from statistics

- ▶ **Routine answer:** Intuitively plausible; low dependence at distances, etc. But...
- ▶ ... technical nightmare, specially for general manifolds.
- ▶ **Deeper issue:** Why can't we prove such a plausible result in our sleep?

## Another example: Linear statistics of random eigenvalues

- ▶ Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a real symmetric random matrix of order  $n$ , with i.i.d. entries on and above the diagonal.
- ▶ Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of  $n^{-1/2}A$ .
- ▶ Let  $f$  be any function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $W = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i)$ . This is called a “linear statistic of the eigenvalues of  $A$ ”.
- ▶ Mysterious fact (Sinai & Soshnikov): Under some smoothness assumptions on  $f$ ,  $\text{Var}(W)$  converges to a positive limit  $\sigma^2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover,  $W - \mathbb{E}(W)$  converges in law to  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

- ▶ Similar results hold for sample covariance matrices (Bai & Silverstein), random unitary matrices (Diaconis & Evans), and other ensembles (e.g. Rider & Virág).
- ▶ These are examples where the usual methods of normal approximation do not work. May be we don't understand everything about normal approximation?



# Stein's method

- ▶ If  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ , then  $\mathbb{E}(\varphi(Z)Z) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi'(Z))$  for all  $\varphi$ .
- ▶ Stein's idea: If  $\mathbb{E}(\varphi(W)W) \approx \mathbb{E}(\varphi'(W))$  for many  $\varphi$ 's, then  $W$  is approximately  $N(0, 1)$ .
- ▶ Many variants, e.g.
  - ▶ Exchangeable pairs
  - ▶ Zero bias couplings
  - ▶ Size bias couplings
  - ▶ Generator approach
  - ▶ Dependency graphs
- ▶ Common complaint: Hard to apply to arbitrary problems.

# A conceptual insight

- ▶ Define

$$S_p(W) := \sup\{|\mathbb{E}(\varphi(W)W - \varphi'(W))| : \|\varphi'(W)\|_p \leq 1\}.$$

- ▶ From Stein's lemma:  $d_{TV}(W, Z) \leq 2S_p(W)$  for every  $p > 1$ .  
(Recall:  $d_{TV}(X, Y) = \sup_A |\mathbb{P}(X \in A) - \mathbb{P}(Y \in A)|$ .)

## Theorem

If  $W$  has mean zero, unit variance, and density  $\rho$ , then

$$S_p(W) = \|h(W) - \mathbb{E}h(W)\|_q,$$

where

$$h(x) = \frac{\int_x^\infty y\rho(y)dy}{\rho(x)},$$

and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

- ▶ This shows that approximate normality of  $W$  = concentration of  $h(W)$ .
- ▶ Proof is based on  $L^p$ - $L^q$  duality from functional analysis.

- ▶ Concentration problems, unlike normal approximation problems, are *transferable* via conditional expectation. That is, if we can write

$$h(W) = \mathbb{E}(T \mid W),$$

where  $T$  is a an explicit object arising from the given problem, then

$$\|h(W) - \mathbb{E}h(W)\|_q \leq \|T - \mathbb{E}T\|_q.$$

# This might wake you up...

## Theorem

Suppose  $W = f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , where  $X_i$ 's are i.i.d.  $N(0, 1)$ , and  $f$  is smooth. Assume  $\mathbb{E}(W) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}(W^2) = 1$ . Let  $Z$  be a vector of  $n$  i.i.d. standard gaussians and define  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$T(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}} \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\sqrt{t}x + \sqrt{1-t}Z) \right) dt.$$

Then  $h(W) = \mathbb{E}(T(X_1, \dots, X_n) | W)$ .

(Recall: This implies that  $d_{TV}(W, N(0, 1)) \leq 2\sqrt{\text{Var}(h(W))}$ .)

## Simple examples

$f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$	$T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$
$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\sqrt{n}}$	1
$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - n}{\sqrt{2n}}$	$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{n}$
$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_{i+1}}{\sqrt{n}}$	$\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=2}^n (X_{i-1} + X_{i+1})^2 + \frac{X_1^2 + X_{n+1}^2}{2n}$

# Sketch of proof

- ▶  $h$  is characterized by  $\mathbb{E}(\varphi(W)W) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi'(W)h(W))$ .
- ▶ Suffices to show  $\mathbb{E}(\varphi(W)W) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi'(W)T(X))$ .
- ▶ Let  $X^t = \sqrt{t}X + \sqrt{1-t}Z$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(\varphi(W)W) &= \mathbb{E}(\varphi(W)(f(X^1) - f(X^0))) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\varphi(W) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} f(X^t) dt\right).\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Note that

$$\frac{d}{dt} f(X^t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{X_i}{2\sqrt{t}} - \frac{Z_i}{2\sqrt{1-t}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(X^t).$$

- ▶ Proof is completed by a sequence of tricky integration-by-parts steps.

## How does one bound $\text{Var}(T)$ in general?

**Answer:** By the gaussian Poincaré inequality. If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d.  $N(0, 1)$ , and  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is absolutely continuous, then

$$\text{Var}(T(X_1, \dots, X_n)) \leq \mathbb{E} \|\nabla T(X_1, \dots, X_n)\|^2.$$

(Recall:  $\nabla T = (\partial T / \partial x_1, \dots, \partial T / \partial x_n)$  is the gradient of  $T$ .)

# A general result

- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{L}(c_1, c_2)$  be the class of probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  that arise as laws random variables like  $h(Z)$ , where  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$  and  $h \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies

$$|h'(x)| \leq c_1 \text{ and } |h''(x)| \leq c_2.$$

- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the class of all distributions that belong to  $\mathcal{L}(c_1, c_2)$  for some finite  $c_1, c_2$ .
- ▶ In the next slide, we have a general normal approximation theorem for smooth functions of independent r.v.'s with laws in  $\mathcal{L}$ .



## Theorem

Let  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be a vector of independent random variables in  $\mathcal{L}(c_1, c_2)$  for some finite  $c_1, c_2$ . Take any  $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and let  $\nabla g$  and  $\nabla^2 g$  denote the gradient and Hessian of  $g$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_0 &= \left( \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(X) \right|^4 \right)^{1/2}, \\ \kappa_1 &= (\mathbb{E} \|\nabla g(X)\|^4)^{1/4}, \text{ and} \\ \kappa_2 &= (\mathbb{E} \|\nabla^2 g(X)\|^4)^{1/4}.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $W = g(X)$  has a finite fourth moment and let  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(W)$ . Let  $Z$  be a normal random variable having the same mean and variance as  $W$ . Then

$$d_{TV}(W, Z) \leq \frac{2\sqrt{5}(c_1 c_2 \kappa_0 + c_1^3 \kappa_1 \kappa_2)}{\sigma^2}.$$

# Applications to linear statistics of eigenvalues

- ▶ If  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of a Wigner matrix with entries in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $W = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i)$ , and let  $Z$  be a gaussian random variable with the same mean and variance as  $W$ . Then

$$d_{TV}(W, Z) \leq \frac{C(f)}{\sigma^2 \sqrt{n}},$$

where  $C(f)$  is an explicit constant depending on  $f$ .

- ▶ For the sample covariance matrix given by a  $p \times N$  data matrix of i.i.d. entries, the corresponding bound is

$$\frac{C(f)(p \wedge N)}{\sigma^2 N^{3/2}}.$$

(Bai and Silverstein have shown that when  $p/N \rightarrow \alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\sigma^2$  converges to a positive limit.)

- ▶ Works for Double Wishart matrices and Gaussian Toeplitz matrices (new results).

## Part II: Arbitrary functions of independent random variables

- ▶ Suppose  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a vector of independent random variables.
- ▶ Let  $W = f(X)$  be an arbitrary function of  $X$ .
- ▶ Let  $X'$  be an independent copy of  $X$ .
- ▶ For each  $A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ , define the vector  $X^A$  as

$$X_i^A = \begin{cases} X'_i & \text{if } i \in A, \\ X_i & \text{if } i \notin A. \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Let

$$\Delta_j f(X) = f(X) - f(X^j).$$

(Recall:  $X^j = (X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X'_j, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n)$ .)

- ▶ Define

$$T(X, X') = \frac{1}{2} \sum_A \frac{1}{\binom{n}{|A|} (n - |A|)} \sum_{j \notin A} \Delta_j f(X) \Delta_j f(X^A).$$

## Theorem

Suppose  $\mathbb{E}(W) = 0$  and let  $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}(W^2)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{W}(\sigma^{-1}W, N(0, 1)) \\ & \leq \frac{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}(T|W))}}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^3} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\Delta_j f(X)|^3, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{W}$  is the Wasserstein distance and  $T$  is defined as in the previous slide.

(Recall:  $\mathcal{W}(X, Y) = \sup\{|\mathbb{E}f(X) - \mathbb{E}f(Y)| : \|f\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq 1\}$ .)

# Simplest example

- ▶ Suppose  $f(X) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Then

$$T(X, X') = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - X'_j)^2.$$

Thus,  $\text{Var}(T(X, X')) = O(n^{-1})$ .

- ▶ Also,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\Delta_j f(X)|^3 = n^{-3/2} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_j - X'_j|^3 = O(n^{-1/2}).$$

- ▶ Combining, we get an  $O(n^{-1/2})$  error bound.

# Quadratic forms

- ▶ Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. symmetric  $\pm 1$ -valued random variables.
- ▶ Let  $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij})$  be a real symmetric matrix of order  $n$ .
- ▶ Let  $W = \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} X_i X_j$  and  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(W) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{A}^2)$ .
- ▶ Can compute:  $\mathbb{E}(T|X) = \frac{1}{2} X^t \mathbf{A}^2 X$ .

Putting  $W' = (W - \mathbb{E}(W))/\sigma$ , we have

$$\mathcal{W}(W', N(0, 1)) \leq \left( \frac{\text{Tr}(\mathbf{A}^4)}{2\sigma^4} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{5}{2\sigma^3} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2 \right)^{3/2}.$$

This is slightly stronger than the best known results (Rotar '73, Hall '84, Götze & Tikhomirov '99, '02).



# Proof of main theorem (brief sketch)

- ▶ For any  $g$ ,  $f$ ,  $A$ , and  $j \notin A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(\Delta_j g(X) \Delta_j f(X^A)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(g(X) \Delta_j f(X^A)) - \mathbb{E}(g(X^j) \Delta_j f(X^A)) \\ &= 2\mathbb{E}(g(X) \Delta_j f(X^A)) \text{ by exchangeability of } (X_j, X'_j). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ With  $g = \varphi \circ f$ , we have  $\Delta_j g(X) \approx \varphi'(f(X)) \Delta_j f(X)$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(\varphi'(f(X)) \Delta_j f(X) \Delta_j f(X^A)) \\ & \approx \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(\Delta_j g(X) \Delta_j f(X^A)) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi(f(X)) \Delta_j f(X^A)). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(\varphi'(f(X)) T(X, X')) \\ & \approx \mathbb{E} \left( \varphi(f(X)) \sum_A \frac{1}{\binom{n}{|A|} (n - |A|)} \sum_{j \notin A} \Delta_j f(X^A) \right). \end{aligned}$$

# Proof of main theorem (brief sketch)

- ▶ Now note that

$$\sum_A \frac{1}{\binom{n}{|A|}(n-|A|)} \sum_{j \notin A} \Delta_j f(X^A) = f(X) - f(X'),$$

which is just an algebraic identity.

- ▶ Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\varphi'(f(X))T(X, X')) &\approx \mathbb{E}[\varphi(f(X))(f(X) - f(X'))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\varphi(f(X))f(X)). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Exact equality holds for  $\varphi(u) = u$ , which gives

$$\mathbb{E}(T(X, X')) = \text{Var}(f(X)) = \sigma^2.$$

- ▶ Thus, if  $\text{Var}(T(X, X'))$  is tiny, then

$$\mathbb{E}(\varphi(f(X))f(X)) \approx \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}(\varphi'(f(X))),$$

which shows that  $f(X) \dot{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

## Again, how to bound $\text{Var}(T)$ in general?

Very useful tool: Analog of Poincaré inequality, known as the *Efron-Stein* inequality in the statistical literature.

### Theorem (Efron-Stein inequality)

Let  $Z = f(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$  be a function of independent random objects  $Y_1, \dots, Y_m$ . Let  $Y'_i$  be an independent copy of  $Y_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Z) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( f(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, Y'_i, Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_m) - f(Y_1, \dots, Y_m) \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

# A nearest neighbor problem

- ▶ Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. random variables lying on a nice manifold of unknown dimension  $m$ .
- ▶ For a fixed  $k \geq 2$ , the Levina-Bickel estimate of  $m$  with tuning parameter  $k$  is given by

$$\hat{m}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \log \frac{D_{\ell k}}{D_{\ell j}} \right)^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where  $D_{\ell j}$  is the distance between  $X_\ell$  and its  $j^{\text{th}}$  nearest neighbor.

- ▶ Under assumptions,  $\hat{m}_k$  is consistent. How to prove a CLT?
- ▶ Existing results and techniques (Bickel-Breiman, Avram-Bertsimas, Penrose-Yukich, etc.) provide no immediate help.

# A general nearest neighbor CLT

## Theorem

Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d.  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued random vectors such that  $\|X_1 - X_2\|$  is a continuous r.v. Fix  $k \geq 1$ , and suppose that for each  $i$ ,  $f_i$  is a function of only  $X_i$  and its  $k$  nearest neighbors, and  $W = n^{-1/2} \sum_i f_i$ . Suppose for some  $p \geq 8$ ,  $\gamma_p := \max_i \mathbb{E}|f_i|^p$  is finite. Let  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(W)$  and  $W' = (W - \mathbb{E}(W))/\sigma$ . Then

$$\mathcal{W}(W', N(0, 1)) \leq C \frac{\alpha(d)^3 k^4 \gamma_p^{2/p}}{\sigma^2 n^{(p-8)/2p}} + C \frac{\alpha(d)^3 k^3 \gamma_p^{3/p}}{\sigma^3 n^{(p-6)/2p}},$$

where  $\alpha(d)$  is the minimum number of  $60^\circ$  cones at the origin required to cover  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $C$  is a universal constant.

# Summary

- ▶ Aim of the work: To reduce normal approximation problems to technically manageable variance bounding exercises. Gives explicit bounds.
- ▶ Variance bounds can be handled effectively by Poincaré or martingale inequalities.
- ▶ Applications till now: random matrix eigenvalues, nearest neighbor statistics, Komlós-Major-Tusnády strong embedding, Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model of spin glasses, etc.
- ▶ Future plan: (1) Work on other examples that I have in mind. (2) Find more applications. (3) Convince others to use the method.

# A new approach to strong embeddings

Sourav Chatterjee

UC Berkeley

# Coupling of random walks with Brownian motion

- ▶ Suppose  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$  are i.i.d. random variables with  $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_1) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_1^2) = 1$ . For each  $k$ , let

$$S_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i.$$

- ▶ Goal is to construct a standard Brownian motion  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on the same probability space so as to minimize the growth rate of

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k - B_k|.$$



# Skorokhod embeddings

- ▶ Skorokhod (1961), Strassen (1966): Start with  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , and construct stopping times  $T_1 \leq T_2 \leq \dots$  such that
  - ▶  $B_{T_1}$  has the same distribution as  $\varepsilon_1$ .
  - ▶  $B_{T_1}, B_{T_2} - B_{T_1}, B_{T_3} - B_{T_2}, \dots$  are i.i.d. In other words,  $(B_{T_k})_{k \geq 1}$  has the same law as  $(S_k)_{k \geq 1}$ .
  - ▶  $E(T_{i+1} - T_i \mid (B_t)_{t \leq T_i}) = 1$  for each  $i$ .
- ▶ Show that  $T_k \simeq k$  in an average sense, to bound  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |B_{T_k} - B_k|$ .
- ▶ Strassen (1966) proved that

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |B_{T_k} - B_k| = O((n \log \log n)^{1/4} (\log n)^{1/2}),$$

and conjectured that this is the best possible rate under  $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_1^2) < \infty$ .  
Proved by Kiefer (1969).

- ▶ Is it possible to improve, assuming stronger moment conditions on the summands?

# The KMT embedding theorem

Indeed, yes. If  $\varepsilon_1$  has a finite moment generating function in a neighborhood of zero, then one can get

$$\max_{k \leq n} |S_k - B_k| = O(\log n).$$

Moreover, this is the best possible.

## Theorem (Komlós-Major-Tusnády, 1975)

Let  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$  be i.i.d. random variables with  $\mathbb{E} \exp \theta |\varepsilon_1| < \infty$  for some  $\theta > 0$ . Let  $S_k := \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  be the corresponding random walk. It is possible to construct a version of the sequence  $(S_k)_{k \geq 0}$  and a standard Brownian motion  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on the same probability space such that for every  $n$  and every  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\max_{k \leq n} |S_k - B_k| \geq C \log n + t) \leq K e^{-\lambda t},$$

where  $C$ ,  $K$ , and  $\lambda$  do not depend on  $n$ .

# Further developments

- ▶ Numerous applications in both applied and theoretical problems.
- ▶ Invaluable for understanding fine properties of simple random walk, e.g. in the works of Dembo-Peres-Rosen-Zetouni, Lawler, etc.
- ▶ There is a different KMT theorem for empirical processes, in the same paper. Of great interest to statisticians.
- ▶ No version yet for the non-i.i.d. case.
- ▶ Original paper still considered to be very hard to read. We will give a new (simpler?) proof for Bernoulli summands.

# The first step

## Lemma

Suppose  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  are i.i.d. symmetric  $\pm 1$ -valued r.v. Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i$ . Then it is possible to construct a version of  $S_n$  and a gaussian r.v.  $Z_n$  with mean 0 and variance  $n$  on the same probability space such that for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(|S_n - Z_n| \geq t) \leq Ke^{-\lambda t},$$

where  $K$  and  $\lambda$  do not depend on  $n$ .

**Question:** Can we have a version of this in more complex normal approximation problems?

# An abstraction

## Lemma

Suppose  $W$  is a random variable with  $\mathbb{E}(W) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}(W^2) < \infty$ . Let  $T$  be another random variable, defined on the same probability space as  $W$ , satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}(W\varphi(W)) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi'(W)T)$$

for all Lipschitz  $\varphi$ . Suppose  $|T|$  is almost surely bounded by a constant. Then, given any  $\sigma^2 > 0$ , we can construct  $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  on the same probability space such that for any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \exp(\theta|W - Z|) \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\frac{2\theta^2(T - \sigma^2)^2}{\sigma^2}\right).$$

- ▶ Key idea, inspired by Stein's method:  $T \simeq \sigma^2 \implies W$  is approximately  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ . I call  $T$  a Stein coefficient of  $W$ .
- ▶ However, classical Stein's method can only give bounds on quantities like  $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |\mathbb{E}f(W) - \mathbb{E}f(Z)|$ , for various classes  $\mathcal{F}$ . The above result seems to be of a fundamentally different nature.

# Examples

- ▶ Suppose  $X$  is a random variable with  $\mathbb{E}(X) = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(X^2) < \infty$ , and density  $\rho$ . Let

$$h(x) = \frac{\int_x^\infty y\rho(y)dy}{\rho(x)}.$$

- ▶ Then, by integration-by-parts, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(X\varphi(X)) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi'(X)h(X)).$$

Thus,  $h(X)$  is a Stein coefficient for  $X$ .

- ▶ Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. copies of  $X$ , and let  $W = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Then by the above result,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(W\varphi(W)) &= n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(X_i\varphi(W)) \\ &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(h(X_i)\varphi'(W)).\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Thus,  $n^{-1} \sum h(X_i)$  is a Stein coefficient for  $W$ .

## A discrete example

- ▶ Suppose  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  are i.i.d. symmetric  $\pm 1$ -valued r.v. Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i$ .

- ▶ Let  $Y \sim \text{Uniform}[-1, 1]$ . Let  $W = S_n + Y$ .

- ▶ Let

$$T = n - S_n Y + \frac{1 - Y^2}{2}.$$

- ▶ It follows from a calculation involving integration-by-parts that for all  $\varphi$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(W\varphi(W)) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi'(W)T),$$

that is,  $T$  is a Stein coefficient for  $W$ .

- ▶ Letting  $\sigma^2 = n$ , the abstract lemma tells us that it is possible to construct  $Z \sim N(0, n)$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} \exp(\theta|W - Z|) \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\frac{2\theta^2(T - n)^2}{n}\right).$$

Since  $T = n + O(\sqrt{n})$ , this proves the lemma.

# A general class of examples

- ▶ Suppose  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be a vector of i.i.d. standard gaussian r.v.
- ▶ Let  $W = f(\mathbf{X})$ , where  $f$  is absolutely continuous. Suppose  $\mathbb{E}(W) = 0$ .
- ▶ Let  $\mathbf{X}' = (X'_1, \dots, X'_n)$  be an independent copy of  $\mathbf{X}$ .
- ▶ Let

$$T = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{X}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\sqrt{1-t}\mathbf{X} + \sqrt{t}\mathbf{X}') dt.$$

- ▶ Then, one can show that  $T$  is a Stein coefficient for  $W$ .
- ▶ This can be used, e.g., to prove CLTs for linear statistics of eigenvalues of random matrices. (Different talk.)



# Proof of abstract lemma: Step I

- ▶ Recall setup:  $(W, T)$  is a pair of r.v. such that for all  $\varphi$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(W\varphi(W)) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi'(W)T).$$

Given  $\sigma^2$ , we are trying to construct  $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} \exp(\theta|W - Z|) \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\frac{2\theta^2(T - \sigma^2)^2}{\sigma^2}\right).$$

- ▶ Let  $h(W) = \mathbb{E}(T | W)$ . Then for all  $\varphi$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(W\varphi(W)) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi'(W)h(W)).$$

The function  $h$  **characterizes the distribution** of  $W$  via this equation.

- ▶ One can show that it suffices to construct  $(W, Z)$  such that  $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  and for all  $\theta > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \exp(\theta|W - Z|) \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \exp(2\theta^2(\sqrt{h(W)} - \sigma)^2).$$

## Step II: Construction of the coupling

- ▶ Fix a function  $r : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- ▶ For  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , let

$$\mathcal{L}f(x, y) := h(x) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + 2r(x, y) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} - x \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}.$$

- ▶ Suppose there exists a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that for all  $f$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{L}f \, d\mu = 0. \quad (*)$$

- ▶ We will show that **every choice of  $r$**  that allows a  $\mu$  satisfying **(\*)** gives rise to a **coupling** of  $W$  and  $Z$ .

## Step II contd.

- ▶ Recap: we have  $\mu$  such that for all  $f$

$$(*) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{L}f \, d\mu = 0, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\mathcal{L}f(x, y) := h(x) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + 2r(x, y) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} - x \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}.$$

- ▶ Let  $(X, Y) \sim \mu$ .
- ▶ Take any  $\Phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and let  $\varphi = \Phi'$ . Putting  $f(x, y) = \Phi(x)$  in  $(*)$ , we get

$$\mathbb{E}(h(X)\varphi'(X) - X\varphi(X)) = 0.$$

Thus,  $X$  has the same law as  $W$ .

- ▶ Similarly, putting  $f(x, y) = \Phi(y)$ , we get  $\mathbb{E}(Y\varphi(Y)) = \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}(\varphi'(Y))$ , and thus,  $Y \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ .
- ▶ Note that these deductions are independent of the choice of  $r(x, y)$ , as long as  $\mu$  exists. **Each valid choice of  $r(x, y)$  gives a coupling of  $W$  and  $Z$ .**

## Step III: The key lemma

### Lemma

Suppose that the matrix valued function

$A(x, y) := \begin{pmatrix} h(x) & r(x, y) \\ r(x, y) & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}$  is bounded, positive semidefinite, and continuous everywhere. Then there exists a probability measure  $\mu$  such that for all  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfying certain mild conditions, we have

$$(*) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{L}f \, d\mu = 0, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\mathcal{L}f(x, y) := h(x) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + 2r(x, y) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} - x \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}.$$

Note that

- ▶ The operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is not uniformly elliptic.
- ▶ The domain of  $f$  is unbounded.
- ▶ The functions  $h$  and  $r$  need not be Lipschitz.
- ▶ Can be solved by stochastic process techniques. Will come back to give a different, self-contained proof.

## Step IV: Positive definite completion

- ▶ Thus, finding  $r(x, y)$  is a problem of **positive definite completion** for the incomplete matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} h(x) & ? \\ ? & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ▶ Intuition: the more nearly singular the completion, the tighter the coupling between the two coordinates.
- ▶ The 'most singular choice' is given by the **geometric mean**

$$r(x, y) = \sigma \sqrt{h(x)}.$$

- ▶ Another choice:  $r(x, y) = h(x) \wedge \sigma^2$ . This is not good: It brings us back to Skorokhod embeddings. (Will not elaborate now.)

## Step V: Getting bounds

- ▶ With  $r(x, y) = \sigma\sqrt{h(x)}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}f(x, y) = h(x)\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + 2\sigma\sqrt{h(x)}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x\partial y} + \sigma^2\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} - x\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - y\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}.$$

- ▶ With  $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2k}(x - y)^{2k}$ , we get

$$\mathcal{L}f(x, y) = (2k - 1)(x - y)^{2k-2}(\sqrt{h(x)} - \sigma)^2 - (x - y)^{2k}.$$

- ▶ So, if  $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{L}f(X, Y)) = 0$  for all  $f$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(X - Y)^{2k} &= (2k - 1)\mathbb{E}((X - Y)^{2k-2}(\sqrt{h(X)} - \sigma)^2) \\ &\leq (2k - 1)(\mathbb{E}(X - Y)^{2k})^{\frac{k-1}{k}}(\mathbb{E}(\sqrt{h(X)} - \sigma)^{2k})^{1/k}.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ This gives

$$\mathbb{E}(X - Y)^{2k} \leq (2k - 1)^k \mathbb{E}(\sqrt{h(X)} - \sigma)^{2k}.$$

The proof of the lemma is completed by summing over  $k \geq 1$ .

# Proof of the key lemma

- ▶ Let  $A$  be a continuous bounded map from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  into the set of all  $2 \times 2$  positive semidefinite matrices.
- ▶ Take any probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{X} \sim \mu$ , and let  $\mathbf{Z}$  be a standard gaussian random vector independent of  $\mathbf{X}$ .
- ▶ For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $T_\varepsilon \mu$  be the law of the random vector

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\mathbf{X} + \sqrt{2\varepsilon A(\mathbf{X})}\mathbf{Z},$$

where  $\sqrt{A}$  denotes the positive definite square root of  $A$ . Then  $T_\varepsilon$  is a continuous map.

- ▶ Suppose  $\|A(\mathbf{x})\| \leq b$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $K$  be the set of all probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  satisfying

$$\int \mathbf{x} d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int \exp\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x} \rangle d\mu(\mathbf{x}) \leq \exp(b\|\mathbf{u}\|^2) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Easy to verify that  $K$  is non-empty, compact, and convex.

- ▶ Main observation: For any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,  $T_\varepsilon(K) \subseteq K$ .
- ▶ So, by the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem for locally convex spaces, for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\exists \mu_\varepsilon \in K$  such that  $T_\varepsilon \mu_\varepsilon = \mu_\varepsilon$ .

## Proof of key lemma contd.

- ▶ Since  $K$  is compact,  $\exists \mu \in K$  such that for some sequence  $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ ,  $\mu_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow \mu$ .
- ▶ Suppose  $\mathbf{X}_\varepsilon \sim \mu_\varepsilon$ . Using the identity  $T_\varepsilon \mu_\varepsilon = \mu_\varepsilon$ , we get that for any  $f$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}f((1 - \varepsilon)\mathbf{X}_\varepsilon + \sqrt{2\varepsilon A(\mathbf{X}_\varepsilon)}\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{X}_\varepsilon).$$

- ▶ Taylor expanding the LHS around  $\mathbf{X}_\varepsilon$ , dividing both sides by  $\varepsilon$ , and letting  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  along  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ , we end up with

$$(*) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{L}f \, d\mu = 0, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}f(x, y) := & A_{11}(x, y) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + 2A_{12}(x, y) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + A_{22}(x, y) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \\ & - x \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Thus,  $\mu$  satisfies our quest and completes the proof of the lemma.



# Completing the proof of the KMT theorem

We prove the following by **induction on  $n$** .

## Theorem

*There exist positive universal constants  $C$ ,  $K$  and  $\lambda_0$  such that the following is true. Take any integer  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  are exchangeable  $\pm 1$  random variables. For  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , let  $S_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i$  and let*

$$W_k = S_k - \frac{k}{n} S_n.$$

*It is possible to construct a version of  $W_0, \dots, W_n$  and a standard Brownian bridge  $(\tilde{B}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  on the same probability space such that for any  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\lambda \max_{k \leq n} |W_k - \sqrt{n} \tilde{B}_{k/n}|\right) \leq \exp(C \log n) \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\frac{K \lambda^2 S_n^2}{n}\right).$$

# How to carry out the induction

The main ingredient is the following result, which is proved using the abstract lemma. (I won't go into more details.)

## Theorem

Let  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  be  $n$  arbitrary elements of  $\{-1, 1\}$ . Let  $\pi$  be a uniform random permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . For each  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , let

$S_k = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \varepsilon_{\pi(\ell)}$ , and let

$$W_k = S_k - \frac{kS_n}{n}.$$

There exist universal constants  $c > 1$  and  $\theta_0 > 0$  satisfying the following. Take any  $n \geq 3$ , any possible value of  $S_n$ , and any  $n/3 \leq k \leq 2n/3$ . It is possible to construct a version of  $W_k$  and a gaussian random variable  $Z_k$  with mean 0 and variance  $k(n-k)/n$  on the same probability space such that for any  $\theta \leq \theta_0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \exp(\theta |W_k - Z_k|) \leq \exp\left(1 + \frac{c\theta^2 S_n^2}{n}\right).$$

## A few remarks about the intuition

- ▶ If  $\mathbb{E}(X\varphi(X)) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi'(X)h(X))$  for all  $\varphi$ , then the law of  $X$  is an invariant measure for the diffusion

$$dX_t = -X_t dt + \sqrt{2h(X_t)} dB_t.$$

- ▶ Suppose we have independent diffusions

$$dX_t^i = -X_t^i dt + \sqrt{2h(X_t^i)} dB_t^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

- ▶ Let  $W_t = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_t^i$ . Then

$$dW_t = -W_t dt + n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{2h(X_t^i)} dB_t^i.$$

## Intuition contd.

- ▶ If we define another process  $(\tilde{B}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  by

$$d\tilde{B}_t = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{2h(X_t^i)} dB_t^i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n 2h(X_t^i)}},$$

then  $\tilde{B}_t$  is again a standard Brownian motion, and

$$dW_t = -W_t dt + \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n 2h(X_t^i)}{n}} d\tilde{B}_t.$$

- ▶ Since  $\mathbb{E}(h(X)) = \mathbb{E}(X^2) =: \sigma^2$ , therefore  $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n 2h(X_t^i) \simeq 2\sigma^2$  with high probability. Thus,  $W_t$  is 'approximately' an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process.
- ▶ If we define  $dZ_t = -Z_t dt + \sqrt{2}\sigma d\tilde{B}_t$ , then  $Z_t$  is an actual O-U process.
- ▶ Moreover,  $Z_t$  and  $W_t$  'come close' at infinity. Our abstract lemma gets its hands on  $(W_\infty, Z_\infty)$ .

# An important question

Recall:

## Lemma

Suppose  $W$  is a random variable with  $\mathbb{E}(W) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}(W^2) < \infty$ . Let  $T$  be another random variable, defined on the same probability space as  $W$ , satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}(W\varphi(W)) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi'(W)T)$$

for all Lipschitz  $\varphi$ . Suppose  $|T|$  is almost surely bounded by a constant. Then, given any  $\sigma^2 > 0$ , we can construct  $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  on the same probability space such that for any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \exp(\theta|W - Z|) \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\frac{2\theta^2(T - \sigma^2)^2}{\sigma^2}\right).$$

Does there exist a multidimensional version of this lemma?

Immediate consequence: Direct proof of KMT treating the problem as a coupling of random vectors; possibly many other implications.

# Spin glasses and Stein's method

Sourav Chatterjee

UC Berkeley

# Spin glasses

- ▶ Magnetic materials with strange behavior.
- ▶ Not explained by ferromagnetic models like the Ising model.
- ▶ Theoretically studied since the 70's. An important example: Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model.
- ▶ High temperature phase: **Thouless-Anderson-Palmer**. Low temperature phase: **Mézard and Parisi**.
- ▶ Mathematically almost intractable until the late 90's.
  - ▶ Early results due to Aizenman, Lebowitz, Ruelle ('87), Fröhlich and Zegarliński ('87).
  - ▶ Notable papers due to Comets and Neveu ('95), Shcherbina ('99) etc.
  - ▶ Series of breakthroughs from **Talagrand** (1998 - present).
  - ▶ Groundbreaking contributions from **Guerra & Toninelli** ('02) and **Guerra** ('03), taken to completion by Talagrand in 2006.  
**The Parisi formula.** *Ann. Math. (2)* **163** no 1, 221–263.
  - ▶ Still, a lot of mysteries.

# The Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model

- ▶  $N$  spins. State space:  $\Sigma_N = \{-1, 1\}^N$ .
- ▶ Gibbs measure on  $\Sigma_N$  for the SK model:

$$G_N(\sigma) = Z_N^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i < j \leq N} g_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j + h \sum_{i \leq N} \sigma_i\right)$$

where

- ▶  $(g_{ij})_{i < j \leq N}$  is a fixed realization of independent standard gaussian random variables (the **disorder**),
  - ▶  $\beta$  and  $h$  are parameters,
  - ▶  $Z_N$  is the normalizing constant (partition function).
- ▶ Notation:

$$\langle f(\sigma) \rangle := \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} f(\sigma) G_N(\sigma).$$



# High temperature phase

- ▶ Let  $z$  be a standard gaussian r.v. Then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log Z_N}{N} = \log 2 + \mathbb{E} \log \cosh(\beta z \sqrt{q} + h) + \frac{\beta^2}{4} (1 - q)^2,$$

where  $q$  is determined by

$$q = \mathbb{E} \tanh^2(\beta z \sqrt{q} + h).$$

Rigorously proven to hold for  $\beta < 1/2$ , all  $h$  (Talagrand).

- ▶ **Conjectured** description of the full high temperature regime: All  $(\beta, h)$  such that

$$\beta^2 \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{\cosh^4(\beta z \sqrt{q} + h)} < 1.$$

The line where equality holds is called the **Almeida-Thouless line**.

# The Gibbs measure at high temperature

- ▶ Spins are approximately independent, i.e. with high probability,

$$\langle \sigma_{i_1} \sigma_{i_2} \cdots \sigma_{i_k} \rangle \approx \langle \sigma_{i_1} \rangle \langle \sigma_{i_2} \rangle \cdots \langle \sigma_{i_k} \rangle.$$

*This is a deep fact and surprisingly hard to prove. Both sides are nondegenerate random variables in the limit.*

- ▶ Moreover,  $\langle \sigma_{i_1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \sigma_{i_k} \rangle$  are approximately i.i.d.
- ▶ First proved by Talagrand using his cavity argument.
- ▶ If  $h = 0$ , then  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle \equiv 0$  for all  $i$ .
- ▶ What if  $h \neq 0$ ? No simple formulas for  $\langle \sigma_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \sigma_N \rangle$ .

# The TAP equations

When  $(\beta, h) \in$  the high temperature regime, the random quantities  $\langle \sigma_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \sigma_N \rangle$  satisfy

$$\langle \sigma_i \rangle \approx \tanh \left( \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j \neq i} g_{ij} \langle \sigma_j \rangle + h - \beta^2 (1 - q) \langle \sigma_i \rangle \right),$$

where  $q$  solves  $q = \mathbb{E} \tanh^2(\beta z \sqrt{q} + h)$ ,  $z$  being a standard gaussian r.v.

- ▶ Discovered by Thouless, Anderson, and Palmer ('77).
- ▶ Rigorous proof by Talagrand ('03) using the cavity method.
- ▶ Unique solution if  $\beta$  is smaller than a constant.
- ▶ Talagrand also shows that  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$  converges in law to  $\tanh(\beta z \sqrt{q} + h)$ .

# The cavity method

- ▶ Basically, a very complex induction over  $N$ .
- ▶ Coefficient of  $\sigma_N$  in the Hamiltonian is

$$\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} g_{Nj} \sigma_j + h.$$

- ▶ Replace this by an independent gaussian r.v. to get a new Hamiltonian.
- ▶ Determine the mean and variance of this r.v. such that the annealed measures remain 'approximately the same'.
- ▶ Using gaussian interpolation and a certain recursive argument, Talagrand shows that it is possible to do this if  $\beta$  is sufficiently small. This is the foundation of the cavity induction.
- ▶ We will follow a different route, starting from the next slide.

# Explaining the TAP equations: (I) Local fields

- ▶ **Local field** at site  $i$ :

$$l_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j \neq i} g_{ij} \sigma_j.$$

- ▶ Easy to show: The conditional expectation of  $\sigma_i$  given  $(\sigma_j)_{j \neq i}$  is exactly  **$\tanh(\beta l_i + h)$** .
- ▶ This gives the equations

$$\langle \sigma_i \rangle = \langle \tanh(\beta l_i + h) \rangle.$$

- ▶ Thus, if we knew the **limiting distribution of  $l_i$** ,  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$  could be computed directly. But this was not known previously.

# Explaining the TAP equations: (II) The Onsager correction

- ▶ If  $X$  is a random variable with small variance, then

$$\mathbb{E} \tanh(aX + b) \approx \tanh(a\mathbb{E}(X) + b).$$

This is the usual mean-field approximation.

- ▶ Applying the naïve mean-field logic (although  $\text{Var}(\ell_i) \not\rightarrow 0$ ), we may wonder whether

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_i \rangle &= \langle \tanh(\beta \ell_i + h) \rangle \stackrel{?}{\approx} \tanh(\beta \langle \ell_i \rangle + h) \\ &= \tanh\left(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j \neq i} g_{ij} \langle \sigma_j \rangle + h\right). \end{aligned}$$

Not surprisingly, this is incorrect.

- ▶ In the TAP equations, we have

$$\langle \sigma_i \rangle \approx \tanh\left(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j \neq i} g_{ij} \langle \sigma_j \rangle + h - \beta^2(1 - q) \langle \sigma_i \rangle\right).$$

The extra term is called the **Onsager correction term** in physics.

# Onsager correction and mixture gaussians

- ▶ Let  $\phi_{\mu, \sigma^2}$  be the gaussian density with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Let  $\psi_{p, \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma^2}$  be the mixture gaussian density

$$\psi_{p, \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma^2} = p\phi_{\mu_1, \sigma^2} + (1 - p)\phi_{\mu_2, \sigma^2}.$$

- ▶ Suppose  $\mu_2 > \mu_1$ , and we define

$$a = \frac{\mu_2 - \mu_1}{2\sigma^2}, \quad b = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{p}{1-p} - \frac{\mu_2^2 - \mu_1^2}{4\sigma^2}.$$

Then, if  $X \sim \psi_{p, \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma^2}$ , then

$$\mathbb{E} \tanh(aX + b) = \tanh(a\mathbb{E}(X) + b - (2p - 1)a^2\sigma^2).$$

- ▶ This is what happens! The local fields have mixture gaussian laws and the highlighted term is the Onsager correction term.

# Our main result: Limit law of local fields

- ▶ Recall: The local field at site  $i$  is defined as

$$\ell_i := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j \neq i} g_{ij} \sigma_j.$$

- ▶ For each  $i$ , let  $r_i$  be the random variable

$$r_i := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j \neq i} g_{ij} \langle \sigma_j \rangle - \beta(1-q) \langle \sigma_i \rangle.$$

- ▶ Let  $\nu_i$  be the (random) mixture gaussian probability measure with density function

$$p_i \phi_{r_i + \beta(1-q), 1-q} + (1 - p_i) \phi_{r_i - \beta(1-q), 1-q},$$

where

$$p_i = \frac{e^{\beta r_i + h}}{e^{\beta r_i + h} + e^{-\beta r_i - h}}.$$

- ▶ Under the Gibbs measure,  $\nu_i$  approximates the law of  $\ell_i$  for large  $N$ .



# Our main result: Limit law of local fields

## Theorem

Suppose  $(\beta, h)$  is in the high temperature regime and  $q$  satisfies

$$q = \mathbb{E} \tanh^2(\beta z \sqrt{q} + h),$$

where  $z$  is a standard gaussian r.v. Let  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_N$  be the local fields and let  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N$  be defined as in the previous slide. Then for any **bounded measurable**  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and any  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \langle f(\ell_i) \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \nu_i(dx) \right)^2 \leq \frac{C(\beta, h) \|f\|_{\infty}^2}{\sqrt{N}},$$

where  $C(\beta, h)$  is a constant depending only on  $\beta$  and  $h$ .

- ▶ Recall:  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle = \langle \tanh(\beta \ell_i + h) \rangle$ . Taking  $f(x) = \tanh(\beta x + h)$ , the TAP equations follow easily.
- ▶ Proof is by Stein's method.

# Stein's method

- ▶ Let  $X$  and  $Z$  be two random variables. Suppose we want to show that they approximately have the same distribution.
- ▶ Basic steps in Stein's method:
  1. Identify an operator  $T$  such that for all functions  $h$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(Th(Z)) = 0.$$

( $T$  is called a **Stein characterizing operator**.) For example, if  $Z$  is standard gaussian, then  $Th(x) = h'(x) - xh(x)$  is a characterizing operator.

2. Given a function  $f$ , find  $h$  such that

$$Th(x) = f(x) - \mathbb{E}(f(Z)).$$

Relate the properties of  $h$  to those of  $f$ .

3. By the definition of  $h$  it follows that

$$|\mathbb{E}f(X) - \mathbb{E}f(Z)| = |\mathbb{E}(Th(X))|.$$

Compute a bound on  $|\mathbb{E}(Th(X))|$  by whatever means possible.

# Stein's method for gaussian approximation

- ▶ Let  $Z$  be a standard gaussian r.v. Then for all  $h$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(h'(Z) - Zh(Z)) = 0.$$

Thus,  $Th(x) := h'(x) - xh(x)$  is a characterizing operator for the standard gaussian distribution.

- ▶ Thus, to show that a r.v.  $W$  is approximately standard gaussian, one has to show that for all  $h$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(h'(W) - Wh(W)) \approx 0.$$

# Stein's method for mixture gaussians

- ▶ For the mixture gaussian density

$$p\phi_{\mu_1, \sigma^2} + (1 - p)\phi_{\mu_2, \sigma^2}$$

the characterizing operator is

$$Th(x) = h'(x) - \left( \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma^2} - a \cdot \tanh(ax + b) \right) h(x),$$

where  $\mu, a, b$  are defined as

$$\mu = \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{2}, \quad a = \frac{\mu_2 - \mu_1}{2\sigma^2},$$
$$b = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{p}{1 - p} - \frac{\mu_2^2 - \mu_1^2}{4\sigma^2}.$$

- ▶ Appears to be naturally connected to physical models. Does not occur in the literature on Stein's method.

# The Approximation Lemma

## Lemma

Suppose  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$  is a collection of independent standard gaussian random variables, and  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  are absolutely continuous functions of  $g$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n g_i h_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial g_i} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(h_i^2) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial g_j} \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial g_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Idea:** If the right hand side is small, then the lemma 'generates' the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i h_i \approx \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial g_i}.$$

Can be used to obtain **Stein characterizing equations** for highly complex functions of gaussian random variables.

# Example

- ▶ Consider the SK model with zero external field (the simple case):

$$G_N(\sigma) = Z_N^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i < j \leq N} g_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j\right).$$

- ▶ We wish to generate a characterizing equation for the local field at site 1:

$$\ell_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=2}^N g_{1j} \sigma_j.$$

- ▶ Fix a smooth function  $f$ . For each  $j = 2, \dots, N$ , let

$$h_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \langle \sigma_j f(\ell_1) \rangle.$$

- ▶ Then

$$\sum_{j=2}^N g_{1j} h_j = \langle \ell_1 f(\ell_1) \rangle.$$

## Example contd.

- ▶ On the other hand, an easy computation gives

$$\frac{\partial h_j}{\partial g_{1j}} = \frac{\langle f'(l_1) \rangle + \beta \langle \sigma_1 f(l_1) \rangle - \beta \langle \sigma_j f(l_1) \rangle \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_j \rangle}{N}.$$

- ▶ **The 2nd term.** Note that  $l_1$  does not depend on  $\sigma_1$ , and the conditional expectation of  $\sigma_1$  given  $\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N$  is  $\tanh(\beta l_1)$ . Thus,

$$\langle \sigma_1 f(l_1) \rangle = \langle \tanh(\beta l_1) f(l_1) \rangle.$$

- ▶ **The 3rd term.** It follows from the high temperature theory for  $\beta < 1$  that for  $2 \leq j \leq N$ ,

$$\langle \sigma_1 \sigma_j \rangle \approx \langle \sigma_1 \rangle \langle \sigma_j \rangle = 0.$$

## Example contd.

- ▶ Thus, if we can apply the Approximation Lemma, we get the approximation

$$\sum_{j=2}^N g_{1j} h_j \approx \sum_{j=2}^N \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial g_{1j}}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\langle \ell_1 f(\ell_1) - f'(\ell_1) - \beta \tanh(\beta \ell_1) f(\ell_1) \rangle \approx 0.$$

- ▶ Now, the operator

$$Tf(x) = xf(x) - f'(x) - \beta \tanh(\beta x) f(x)$$

is a Stein characterizing operator for the **mixture gaussian density**

$$\frac{1}{2} \phi_{\beta,1} + \frac{1}{2} \phi_{-\beta,1}.$$

- ▶ This procedure 'discovers' the limiting distribution of  $\ell_1$ .



## When $h \neq 0$

- ▶ When  $h \neq 0$ ,  $\ell_1$  does not have a nonrandom limiting distribution. The situation becomes more complex.
- ▶ Given a function  $u$ , we start with a solution  $f(x, y)$  of the p.d.e.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) - \left( \frac{x-y}{\sigma^2} - \beta \tanh(\beta x + h) \right) f(x, y) \\ &= u(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t) \frac{\cosh(\beta t + h) e^{-\frac{(t-y)^2}{2(1-q)}}}{\sqrt{2\pi(1-q)} \cosh(\beta \mu + h) e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta^2(1-q)}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Then, defining

$$r_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=2}^N g_{1j} \langle \sigma_j \rangle - \beta(1-q) \langle \sigma_1 \rangle,$$

we let

$$h_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \langle (\sigma_j - \langle \sigma_j \rangle) f(\ell_1, r_1) \rangle.$$

- ▶ The proof is completed by an application of the Approximation Lemma with these  $h_j$ 's.

# Distribution of $\langle \sigma_1 \rangle$

- ▶ By the TAP equations,

$$\langle \sigma_1 \rangle \approx \tanh(\beta r_1 + h),$$

where

$$r_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=2}^N g_{1j} \langle \sigma_j \rangle - \beta(1 - q) \langle \sigma_1 \rangle.$$

- ▶ Thus, it suffices to find the limiting distribution of  $r_1$ .
- ▶ Repeatedly integrating by parts, we can get

$$\mathbb{E}(r_1 f(r_1)) \approx q \mathbb{E}(f'(r_1) \langle \eta_1 \rangle)$$

where

$$\eta_1 = 1 + \frac{\beta \sigma_1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=2}^N g_{1j} (\sigma_j - \langle \sigma_j \rangle) - \beta^2 (1 - q) (1 - \langle \sigma_1 \rangle^2).$$

## Distribution of $\langle \sigma_1 \rangle$ contd.

- ▶ Applying the Approximation Lemma with

$$h_j = \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} (\langle \sigma_1 \sigma_j \rangle - \langle \sigma_1 \rangle \langle \sigma_j \rangle),$$

we can show that  $\langle \eta_1 \rangle \approx 1$ .

- ▶ Combined with the earlier approximation

$$\mathbb{E}(r_1 f(r_1)) \approx q \mathbb{E}(f'(r_1) \langle \eta_1 \rangle),$$

this shows that  $\mathbb{E}(r_1 f(r_1)) \approx q \mathbb{E}(f'(r_1))$ .

- ▶ Thus,  $r_1$  is a gaussian r.v. with mean zero and variance  $q$  in the large  $N$  limit.

# Summary and future directions

- ▶ Often in spin glasses and other models we have the situation that for some random quantity  $X$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \tanh(aX + b) = \tanh(a\mathbb{E}(X) + b + \text{a correction term}).$$

- ▶ We show that this happens if the distribution of  $X$  is a mixture of two gaussian densities.
- ▶ Following this line, we derive the **TAP equations** for the SK model by showing that the local field is indeed a mixture of two gaussians in the limit.
- ▶ Gives **total variation** error bounds.
- ▶ The key tool is the **Approximation Lemma** that generates characterizing equations for Stein's method.
- ▶ The Approximation Lemma can possibly be used to derive/discover limiting distributions of other objects.
- ▶ Results apply only to the high temperature phase. Possible to extend to **low temperature???**

# Stein's method for concentration inequalities

Sourav Chatterjee

# Concentration inequalities

- ▶ Concentration inequalities give bounds on

$$\mathbb{P}\{|f(X) - \mathbb{E}f(X)| \geq x\}$$

where  $X$  is some random variable, usually high dimensional, and  $f$  is a well behaved (usually Lipschitz) function.

- ▶ Useful in a variety of fields. Important tool in combinatorics, machine learning and theoretical computer science.
- ▶ Huge literature. Powerful results available for functions of independent random variables.
- ▶ Not so much known in dependent settings.

# Stein's method for concentration inequalities?

- ▶ **Question:** Can we use exchangeable pairs to get concentration inequalities?
- ▶ Attempted by Stein in his book without success.
- ▶ Suppose  $(X, X')$  is an exchangeable pair of random variables on some space,  $F(X, X')$  is an antisymmetric function and  $f(X) = \mathbb{E}(F(X, X')|X)$ .
- ▶ Then for any  $g$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(g(X)f(X)) = \mathbb{E}(g(X)F(X, X')).$$

- ▶ Exchangeability  $\Rightarrow$

$$\mathbb{E}(g(X)F(X, X')) = \mathbb{E}(g(X')F(X', X)).$$

- ▶ Antisymmetry  $\Rightarrow$

$$\mathbb{E}(g(X')F(X', X)) = -\mathbb{E}(g(X')F(X, X')).$$

- ▶ Combining, we get

$$\mathbb{E}(g(X)f(X)) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}((g(X) - g(X'))F(X, X')).$$

- ▶ Taking  $g = f$ , we have the variance formula:

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}((f(X) - f(X'))F(X, X')).$$

- ▶ Example: Magnetization in Curie-Weiss model.



# Curie-Weiss model

- ▶ Configuration space of  $n$  spins:  $\{-1, 1\}^n$ .
- ▶ Probability mass on this space:

$$p_{\beta}(\sigma) = Z(\beta)^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{n} \sum_{i < j} \sigma_i \sigma_j\right).$$

Here  $\beta$  is a parameter and  $Z(\beta)$  is the normalizing constant.

- ▶ Magnetization:  $m(\sigma) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i$ .
- ▶ Well-known:  $m(\sigma) \approx \tanh(\beta m(\sigma))$  with high probability.

- ▶ Say two configurations are “neighbors” if they differ at exactly one site.
- ▶ Get  $\sigma'$  from  $\sigma$  by taking one step in the Gibbs sampler chain along a random neighbor.
- ▶ Set  $F(\sigma, \sigma') = n(m(\sigma) - m(\sigma'))$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\sigma) &:= \mathbb{E}(F(\sigma, \sigma') | \sigma) \\ &= m(\sigma) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tanh(\beta m_i(\sigma)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_i(\sigma) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_j$ .

- ▶ Then  $|F(\sigma, \sigma')| \leq 2$  and  $|f(\sigma) - f(\sigma')| \leq 2(1 + \beta)/n$ .

► Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Var}\left(m(\sigma) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tanh(\beta m_i(\sigma))\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}((f(\sigma) - f(\sigma'))F(\sigma, \sigma')) \\ &\leq \frac{2(1 + \beta)}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

► Finally, note that  $|m_i(\sigma) - m(\sigma)| \leq 1/n$ . Combining, we get

$$\mathbb{E}(m(\sigma) - \tanh(\beta m(\sigma)))^2 \leq \frac{2(1 + \beta)}{n} + \frac{\beta^2}{n^2}.$$

# Tail bounds

We have the following moment and tail inequality version of the earlier variance formula:

## Theorem (Chatterjee '05)

*Define*

$$\Delta(X) := \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(|(f(X) - f(X'))F(X, X')||X).$$

*Then for any positive integer  $p$ , we have*

$$\|f(X) - \mathbb{E}f(X)\|_{2p}^2 \leq (2p - 1) \|\Delta(X)\|_p.$$

*Moreover, if  $|\Delta(X)| \leq C$  almost surely, then*

$$\mathbb{P}\{|f(X) - \mathbb{E}f(X)| \geq x\} \leq 2e^{-x^2/2C}$$

*for each  $x \geq 0$ .*

(Recall that:  $(X, X')$  is an exchangeable pair,  $F$  is antisymmetric, and  $\mathbb{E}(F(X, X')|X) = f(X) - \mathbb{E}f(X)$ .)

- ▶ Let  $\varphi(\theta) = \mathbb{E}(e^{\theta f(X)})$ .
- ▶ Using the same trick as before, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi'(\theta) &= \mathbb{E}(e^{\theta f(X)} f(X)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}((e^{\theta f(X)} - e^{\theta f(X')}) F(X, X')).\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Using  $|e^x - e^y| \leq \frac{1}{2}(e^x + e^y)|x - y|$ , we get

$$\varphi'(\theta) \leq |\theta| \mathbb{E}(e^{\theta f(X)} \Delta(X)),$$

where

$$\Delta(X) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(|(f(X) - f(X')) F(X, X')| | X).$$

- ▶ Similarly,

$$\mathbb{E}(f(X)^{2p}) \leq (2p - 1) \mathbb{E}(f(X)^{2p-2} \Delta(X))$$

and apply Hölder's inequality.

# 'Self-bounded functions'

Before we give an example, let us state a refinement of the previous tail bound:

## Theorem (Chatterjee '05)

Suppose  $\Delta(X) \leq Bf(X) + C$  a.s. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\{|f(X) - \mathbb{E}f(X)| \geq x\} \leq 2e^{-x^2/(2C+2Bx)}$$

for each  $x \geq 0$ .

While the moment bounds are generalizations of the **Burkholder-Gundy-Davis** inequalities, and the first tail bound generalizes the **Hoeffding** inequality, the above can be seen as an exchangeable pair version of **Bernstein's** inequality.

# Example: Random permutations

## Proposition

Let  $\{a_{ij}\}$  be an  $n$  by  $n$  array of elements of  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $\pi$  be a random (uniform) permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , and let  $W = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\pi(i)}$ . Then for any  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\{|W - \mathbb{E}(W)| \geq x\} \leq 2e^{-x^2/(4\mathbb{E}(W)+2x)}.$$

For instance, if  $a_{ij} = \mathbb{I}\{i = j\}$ , then  $W$  is the number of fixed points of  $\pi$  and  $\mathbb{E}(W) = 1$ .

## Sketch of Proof:

- ▶ Obtain  $\pi'$  by applying a random transposition to  $\pi$ . Let  $W' = W(\pi')$ .
- ▶ Let  $F(\pi, \pi') = \frac{1}{2}n(W - W')$ .
- ▶ Easy:  $\mathbb{E}(F(\pi, \pi')|\pi) = W - \mathbb{E}(W) =: f(\pi)$ .

- ▶ Using  $0 \leq a_{ij} \leq 1$ , we can show

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\pi) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(|(f(\pi) - f(\pi'))F(\pi, \pi')| | \pi) \\ &= \frac{n}{4} \mathbb{E}((W - W')^2 | \pi) \\ &\leq f(\pi) + 2\mathbb{E}(W).\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Use Theorem.



## A further refinement

### Lemma (Dey '08)

Suppose  $(X, X')$  be an exchangeable pair of random variables. Let  $F(X, X')$ ,  $f(X)$  and  $\Delta(X)$  be as before. Suppose that for some real number  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$\Delta(X) \leq B |f(X)|^\alpha + C$$

a.s. where  $B > 0, C \geq 0$  are constants. Assume that

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\theta f(X)} | F(X, X') |) < \infty \text{ for all } \theta.$$

Then for any  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X)| > t) \leq 2^{2/\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{t^{2-\alpha}}{16 \max\{B, C\}}\right).$$

## Application: Curie Weiss model at Criticality ( $\beta = 1$ )

- ▶ The **Curie-Weiss model** at inverse temperature  $\beta$  and zero external field is given by the following Gibbs measure on  $\{+1, -1\}^n$ .
- ▶ For a typical spin configuration  $\sigma$  of the complete graph on  $n$  vertices, the **probability of  $\sigma$**  is

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\sigma\}) := Z_{\beta}^{-1} \exp \left( \frac{\beta}{n} \sum_{i < j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \right).$$

- ▶ There is a **phase transition** at  $\beta_c = 1$  w.r.t. the magnetization  $m(\sigma) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i$ .

# Constructing the exchangeable pair

- ▶ Consider the **Glauber dynamics**, i.e., Given a configuration  $\sigma$  get a new configuration  $\sigma'$  as follows: choose a coordinate  $l$  u.a.r. from  $[n]$  and replace  $\sigma_l$  by a random spin drawn from the conditional distribution given the spin of all the neighbors.
- ▶ We have  $\mathbb{E}[\sigma_i | \sigma_j, j \neq i] = \tanh(m_i)$  where

$$m_i = m_i(\sigma) = n^{-1} \sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_j = m(\sigma) - \sigma_i/n.$$

- ▶ Define the **antisymmetric** function

$$F(\sigma, \sigma') = n(m(\sigma) - m(\sigma')) = \sigma_l - \sigma'_l.$$

# Bounding the $\Delta$ function

- ▶ Clearly

$$\begin{aligned}f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) &= \mathbb{E}[F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}') \mid \boldsymbol{\sigma}] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\sigma_i - \tanh m_i(\boldsymbol{\sigma})) \\ &= m - \tanh(m) + O(n^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Using Taylor expansion we have

$$n|f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}')| \leq 2(1 - |\operatorname{sech} m(\boldsymbol{\sigma})|^2) + 6n^{-1}.$$

- ▶ Now  $\tanh |m| \leq |m|$  and  $|m|^3 \leq 5|m - \tanh(m)|$  implies that

$$|\Delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma})| \leq 6n^{-1}|f(\boldsymbol{\sigma})|^{2/3} + 12n^{-5/3}.$$

- ▶ Now applying the lemma with  $\alpha = 2/3$ ,  $B = 6n^{-1}$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|m| \geq t) \leq \mathbb{P}(|m - \tanh(m)| \geq t^3/5) \leq 8e^{-bnt^{3 \cdot (2-\alpha)}} = 8e^{-bnt^4}$$

for some constant  $b$ .

## Theorem (Chatterjee & Dey '08)

*Suppose  $\sigma$  is drawn from the Curie-Weiss model at the critical temperature  $\beta = 1$ . Then, for any  $n \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 0$  the magnetization satisfies*

$$\mathbb{P}(|n^{1/4} m(\sigma)| \geq t) \leq 8 \exp(-bt^4)$$

*for some absolute constant  $b > 0$ .*

# An application to large deviations

For each  $r, s \in (0, 1)$ , let

$$I(r, s) := r \log \frac{r}{s} + (1 - r) \log \frac{1 - r}{1 - s}.$$

## Theorem (Chatterjee & Dey '08)

Let  $T_n$  be the number of triangles in  $G(n, p)$ , where  $p > p_0$ , with  $p_0 = 2/(2 + e^{3/2}) \approx 0.31$ . Then for any  $r \in (p, 1]$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(T_n \geq \binom{n}{3} r^3\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{n^2 I(r, p)}{2} (1 + O(n^{-1/2}))\right).$$

Moreover, even if  $p \leq p_0$ , there exist  $p', p''$  such that  $p < p' \leq p'' < 1$  and the same result holds for all  $r \in (p, p') \cup (p'', 1]$ .

- ▶ Long history of concentration inequalities for subgraph counts. The problem of the upper tail is one of the well known hard problems in random graph theory.
- ▶ Best available results for triangles are due to Kim and Vu (2004).
- ▶ Our theorem gives the first exact computation of the rate function. Only upper and lower bounds were available till now.
- ▶ We do not say anything about sparse graphs.

# Approach

- ▶ We have to consider a Gibbs measure on the space of graphs on  $n$  vertices, with Hamiltonian

$$\exp\left(\beta \frac{\#\text{Triangles}}{n} + h\#\text{Edges} - \psi_n(\beta, h)\right).$$

- ▶ If  $\beta = 0$ , this is just  $G(n, p)$  with  $p = e^h/(1 + e^h)$ .
- ▶ If  $\beta \neq 0$ ,  $\psi_n(\beta, h) = ?$



# Solution in a “high temperature regime”

- ▶ Define  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$g(x) = \frac{e^{\beta x^2 + h}}{1 + e^{\beta x^2 + h}}.$$

- ▶ **Theorem.** *If  $(\beta, h) \in$  a certain ‘high temperature region’, there is a unique  $p_* = p_*(\beta, h) \in [0, 1]$  such that  $p_* = g(p_*)$ , and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi_n(\beta, h)}{n^2} = \frac{\beta p_*^3}{6} + \frac{h p_*}{2} - \frac{p_* \log p_*}{2} - \frac{(1 - p_*) \log(1 - p_*)}{2}.$$

- ▶ Physical solution by Juyong and Newman ('05). Predicts phase transition.

# Sketch of proof

- ▶ Suppose a random graph is drawn from the Gibbs measure. Let

$$X_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (i, j) \text{ is an edge} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Let  $L_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \notin \{i, j\}} X_{ik} X_{jk}$ .
- ▶ **Main step:** A **temperature free** result. Let

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{e^{\beta x + h}}{1 + e^{\beta x + h}}.$$

Then with high probability,

$$L_{ij} \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \notin \{i, j\}} \varphi(L_{ik}) \varphi(L_{jk}) \quad \text{for all } i, j,$$

*irrespective of  $\beta$  and  $h$ .*

- ▶ Proof of the main step: By defining an appropriate exchangeable pair and an antisymmetric function and applying the theorem.

## Sketch of proof contd.

- ▶ Using similar techniques, can also show other temperature free results:

$$\#Edges \sim \sum_{i < j} \varphi(L_{ij})$$

and

$$\#Triangles \sim \sum_{i < j < k} \varphi(L_{ij})\varphi(L_{jk})\varphi(L_{ki}).$$

- ▶ Finally, when  $(\beta, h) \in$  a certain 'high temperature region', the system of equations

$$x_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \notin \{i,j\}} \varphi(x_{ik})\varphi(x_{jk}), \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

has a unique solution at  $x_{ij} \approx \varphi^{-1}(p_*)$  for all  $i, j$ .

## Sketch of proof contd.

- ▶ Using monotonicity of  $\varphi$ , it is possible to conclude that with high probability,  $L_{ij} \approx \varphi^{-1}(p_*)$  for all  $i, j$ .
- ▶ Since the Hamiltonian is

$$\frac{\beta}{6} \sum X_{ij} L_{ij} + \frac{h}{2} \sum X_{ij},$$

this allows us to 'replace' it by a linear function of the  $X_{ij}$ 's (i.e. independence).

- ▶ Resulting model is quite different, but certain characteristics are approximately the same, e.g. normalizing constant, correlations, central limit theorems, etc.